

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/023,438	12/18/2001	Niko Eiden	944-003.123	2313
7:	590 02/09/2005		EXAMINER	
Ware, Fressola,			NGUYEN, DAVID Q	
Van Der Sluys 755 Main Stree	& Adolphson LLP		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 224		2681		
Monroe, CT 06468 DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005			5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-73	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/023,438	EIDEN: ET AL.					
nancery near	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David Q Nguyen	2681					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .  Claim(s) objected to: <u>5,10,11 and 16</u> .  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-9 and 12-15</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•					
10. Other:	E F	BANKANG YEALING	6E :R				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Advisory Action/Response to Arguments. Applicant's arguments filed 01/24/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's Remark on page 6, Applicants argue: "Feldis discloses various tags none of which correspond to a tag that enables or disables a user from editing a picture."

Examiner respectfully disagrees because the resolution tag of Feldis to convert the image data from the resolution size of one megapixels to the resolution size of three megapixels (see par. 0039) and a red-eye removal tag to remove red-eye (see par. 0035). It is apparent that Feldis discloses a tag that enables a user from editing a picture..

David Ngruyen